



A. BASIC INFORMATION

Project ID / Output ID	107467 / Output ID	Reporting Date:	12/1/2018			
Full Title:	Strengthening Women's Rights in the Philippines					
Start Date:	11/7/2017	Completion Date	3/6/2018			
		(and approved extension, if any):				
Total Project Fund	USD 49,995.00	Annual Project Fund:	USD 49,995.00			
(and fund revisions, if any):		AWP Budget (2017)				
Implementing Partner:	none					
Donor/s:	UNDP					
Responsible Parties:	Women and Gender Institute (WAGI)					
Project Description	The project aimed to deepen the discussion on women's access to justice and to identify areas for policy reform to inform the initiative to strengthen UNDP's access to justice program. The project conducted a rapid gender assessment of the criminal justice system to identify the extent of gender mainstreaming in the five justice pillars. It also supported an ASEAN conference that brought together women's groups representing the academe, civil society, rural and urban communities, and government representatives to dialogue on the gains in advancing women's rights and gender equality in the ASEAN region. The workshops include a panel on gender justice and the rule of law					
Target Group	Women, policy makers, academe, civil society					

B. INDICATIVE/EMERGING RESULTS OF THE PROJECT and LESSONS LEARNED

B.1 CPD Outcome alignment	1: The most marginalized, vulnerable, and at-risk people and groups benefit from inclusive and quality services and live in a supportive environment wherein their nutrition, food security, and health are ensured/protected.
B.2 CPD Output indicator alignment [Choose between 1-3 applicable indicators]	1.1.3 Number of people accessing essential public services with UNDP assistance [IRRF 1.1.2.1] Choose an item. Choose an item.

¹ UNDP CO Template for project Annual Progress Reporting; Updated: September 2018. Deadlines: Draft APR due November 30th and Final APR due January 6th of the following year.

The project achieved its objective to deepen the analysis of gender issues and how the justice pillars can respond to these. The assessment is significant because of the heightened focus on the criminal justice system in relation to the national government's war on drugs. The project was able to examine how the system has responded to the provisions of the Magna Carta of Women. However, it did not result in increasing the number of women who can have access to justice.

B.3 SP Output Alignment

Proportion of gender-based violence cases reported to authorities receiving judgement in the formal justice system (Output indicator of Signature Solution #6 Gender)

B.4 Top three key results achieved in 2018

Key Results:

- Understanding of issues in mainstreaming gender in the criminal justice system from the perspective of justice pillars is deepened among policy makers, civil society, academe, and basic sectors
- Awareness of the situation of women in jails is increased Click here to enter text.

B.5 Lessons learned and ways forward

Legal and judicial reforms are critical to ensuring women's access to justice. Gender equality in the justice system is impeded by discriminatory policies, procedures, and practices. Problems that women experienced should be tackled using a justice pillars framework because this considers the obligations of different stakeholders to make access to justice possible.

C. TECHNICAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Evidence-based reporting include relevant reports/publications and/or photo-documentation (description, date, location) as an annex.
- Quarterly financial performance is reported in the FACE Form. Please ensure consistency of technical accomplishments with the submitted Quarter FACE form and the AWP.
- Interim annual financial performance data is reported in the APR.

EXPECTED OUTPUTS

Output 1. *Not applicable*

OUTPUT NARRATIVE

Guidance: Highlight results achieved from outputs below. If the result for output indictors are not met /achieved, please explain the probable reasons behind this result. [1,500 characters]

Pr	oject Output Indic	ator/s²		E	Baseline	1	nual sult ³	Annual Target (Annual)	Cumulative Result (from Start Year)	Cumulative Target (from Start Year)	End-of-Project Target
									Start year: YYYY	Start year: YYYY	End year: YYYY
1.1 not applicable				YYYY	data	d	ata	data	data	data	data
1.2				YYYY	data	d	ata	data	data	data	data
1.3				YYYY	data	a	ata	data	data	data	data
Physical Performance			Financial Performance					e, e			
Activity/Sub-Activity Description	Activity Target⁴	Accomplishment for the Year	Status of Activity ⁵	Planned Budget	Donor and Budget Code	Expenditure Expense + commitment + advances	Delivery Rate (cumulative expenditure / planned budget) *100	Mentio	REM if expenditure and n bottlenecks and p why activity indica	olans to address th	em
Planned Activity 1.1 Organize dialogue among the justice pillars around the theme of gender justice	1 dialogue	1 Southeast Asian Women's Summit	Completed				100%		part of the project co ranches and not per		vhose payment

² Please ensure consistency with ProDoc and AWP indicators.

³ Use traffic light to indicate progress vis-à-vis annual output targets in AWP: Green (Completed), Yellow (Ongoing), Red (Delayed/Not started). Data provided can be qualitative or quantitative based on the nature of the output indicator [UNDP PHL CO Data Clean-up Guidelines].

⁴ Specify units, e.g., number of trainings, number of participants, number of representations, etc.

⁵ Use traffic light to indicate progress vis-à-vis timelines assigned for planned activities.

EXPECTED OUTPUTS Output 1. *Not applicable* **OUTPUT NARRATIVE** Guidance: Highlight results achieved from outputs below. If the result for output indictors are not met /achieved, please explain the probable reasons behind this result. [1,500 characters] Annual **Cumulative End-of-Project** Annual Cumulative Result³ Target Result **Target Target** Project Output Indicator/s² **Baseline** (Annual) (from Start Year) (from Start Year) Start year: YYYY Start year: YYYY End year: YYYY 1.1 not applicable YYYY data data data data data data 1.2 YYYY data data data data data data 1.3 data data YYYY data data data data **Physical Performance Financial Performance** Delivery **REMARKS** Status of Planned Donor and Expenditure Rate Explain if expenditure and budget deviation exceeds 10% Activity⁵ Expense + (cumulative Activity/Sub-Activity Budget Budget **Accomplishment** Mention bottlenecks and plans to address them **Activity Target⁴** commitment + expenditure Description for the Year Code advances Explain why activity indicator targets were not met planned budget) *100 Planned Activity 1.2 1 gender The activity was part of the project contracted to WAGI, whose payment 100% 1 gender Conduct gender assessment

D. PARTNERSHIPS

study

assessment of the

criminal justice system

assessment

study

Completed

Name of Partner	Туре	Description of partnership and how it has contributed to project results or sustainability
Local government of Tayabas City	Local Government Unit	The LGU gave permission and facilitated the conduct of interviews with the jail management, court, and police personnel
	Select type.	

was released in tranches and not per activity.

Was South-South and Triangular Cooperation promoted and	⊠ Yes
utilized through the project?	□No

and the state of t	The Southeast Asian Women's Summit gathered participants from ASEAN countries namely
If yes, briefly explain how. List down countries engaged.	Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, Timor Leste, Vietnam

E. INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION, EDUCATION, AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

IEC/Knowledge Product Produced in 2016	Туре	Date Published/Produced	Target audience	Link (if available)
none	Select type.	Click here to enter date.		
	Select type.	Click here to enter date.		

Was the project cited/quoted/featured in media reports/articles?	https://www.rappler.com/previous-
If yes, please provide link to article/video.	articles?filterMeta=Southeast%20Asian%20Women%27s%20Summit

F. ACTIONS TAKEN REGARDING AUDIT AND/OR SPOT CHECK FINDINGS

Describe actions taken to address the findings from the audit/spot check as applicable.

Audit/Spot Check Recommendation/s	Action Taken	Responsible Person	Implementation Date
Not applicable			Click here to enter date.
			Click here to enter date.

G. RISK LOG UPDATE

- Assess identified risks and record new risks that may affect project implementation.
- Include risks identified in the Project's Social and Environmental Screening, if any.

No.	Description	Date Identified	Туре	Status	Countermeasures/Management Response (What actions have been taken/will be taken to counter this risk)
1	Not applicable	Click here to enter date.	Select risk type.		

H. MONITORING & EVALUATION

Total Spent on Monitoring in Reporting Year	none	Total spent on Decentralized Evaluations in Reporting Year	none
Guidance: Costs associated with UNDP/project staff,		(Mid Term / Final)	
consultants, project partners, supporting national			

statistical systems in designing project specific data collection methodologies (qualitative and quantitative), monitoring methods including stakeholder surveys and other qualitative methods, collection of data, analysis and dissemination of the findings to inform a project, either with project partners or to fulfill specific UNDP/project requirements (preferably the former).	Guidance: Costs associated in designing, implementing and disseminating evaluations for specific projects	
Is the project's M&E Plan being adequately implemented? Are progress data a using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in	gainst indicators in the project's RRF being reported regularly the M&E Plan?	☐ Yes ☑ No, there was no M&E plan because the activities were for project initiation

I. QUALITY OF RESULTS

Please answer when applicable to the project of concern.

Sustainability: Do the benefits of the achieved results have potential to last?	
What does the project plan to do to ensure sustainability?	
National Capacity: Did the project help strengthen national institutions?	No, because the activities were for project initiation
Civic Engagement: Please select the type of civic engagement promoted	☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
[Select all applicable]	☐ ☑ Civic engagement to promote accountability of state institutions
	☐ Civic engagement for service delivery
	☐ Civic engagement for advocacy and/or to raise awareness and promote social norm/behaviour
	change
Youth Opportunities: How did the project support youth in contributing to	☐ Supported youth civic engagement and political participation
sustainable human development and peace?	☐ Supported youth economic empowerment
[Select all applicable]	☐ Supported youth as agents for community resilience and peacebuilding
	\square Supported the involvement of young people as partners in SDG implementation, monitoring
	and accountability

J. INNOVATION

Were innovation initiatives implemented in the project?

What innovative methods	☐ Alternative Finance (including Social Impact Investment/Pay for	☐ Innovation Camp
were applied or tested?	Success)	☐ Innovation Lab
	☐ Behavioural Insights	☐ Micronarratives

			☐ Mobile-Based Feedback Mechanism			
☐ Blockchain ☐ Challenge Prizes			Positive Deviance			
			☐ New and Emerging Data (including Big Data)			
	☐ Crowdsourcing		☐ Randomized Controlled-Trial/Parallel Testing			
	☐ Crowdfunding		☐ Real-Time Monitoring			
	☐ Foresight		☐ Remote Sensing/Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)			
	☐ Games for Social Good		☐ Other (please specify)			
	☐ Hackathon☐ Human-Centered Design		U Other (please specify)			
	☐ Human-Centered Design					
к. м	AINSTREAMING GENDER EQUALITY					
	·	vina emphasis on aende	r-sensitive concerns especially in leadership roles, decision-making process	es, capacit		
	ilding and protection of women, including the children and elderly	ing composition on general	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Du	maing and protection of women, including the children and clustry					
	UNDP Gender Marker [link]	GEN3				
1. Cl	assification of Gender responsiveness ⁶					
<u> </u>	•	V A.D.	piact is gandar responsive (15.0.20.0)			
	ition of gender-responsiveness:		oject is gender-responsive (15.0-20.0)			
Project I	tion of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME)	B: Pr	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9)			
	tion of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME)	B: Pr C: Pr	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9)			
Project I	tion of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME)	B: Pr C: Pr	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9)			
Project II Select on	ntion of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) e	B: Pr C: Pr	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9)			
Project II Select on	tion of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) e ualitative description	B: Pr C: Pr	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9)			
Project II Select on	ualitative description Ition of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) e ualitative description - In Governance Mechanisms	B: Pr C: Pr D: G	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9) ender and development (GAD) is invisible in the proposed project (0-3.9)			
Project II Select on	tion of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) e ualitative description	B: Pr C: Pr D: G	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9) ender and development (GAD) is invisible in the proposed project (0-3.9)			
Project II Select on	ution of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) e ualitative description - In Governance Mechanisms A project was not convened because the activities are only for project	B: Pr C: Pr D: G	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9) ender and development (GAD) is invisible in the proposed project (0-3.9)			
Project II Select on	ualitative description Ition of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) e ualitative description - In Governance Mechanisms	B: Pr C: Pr D: G	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9) ender and development (GAD) is invisible in the proposed project (0-3.9)			
Project II Select on	ntion of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) e ualitative description - In Governance Mechanisms A project was not convened because the activities are only for project - In Capacity Building and Policy, Planning and Programming	B: Pr C: Pr D: G	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9) ender and development (GAD) is invisible in the proposed project (0-3.9)			
Project II Select on	ntion of gender-responsiveness: mplementation, Management, Monitoring and Evaluation (PIMME) e ualitative description - In Governance Mechanisms A project was not convened because the activities are only for project - In Capacity Building and Policy, Planning and Programming - Women's Empowerment Key Results	B: Pr C: Pr D: Go	oject is gender-sensitive (8.0-14.9) oject has promising GAD prospects (4.0-7.9) ender and development (GAD) is invisible in the proposed project (0-3.9)	icant		

knowledge that deepens the understanding and analysis of women's issues not only locally but on a regional scale. The gender assessment, in particular, surfaced first-hand

the experiences of women within the criminal justice system and their lack of access to justice.

⁶ Scoring based on Box 16 and 17 of the Harmonized Gender and Development Guidelines on Project Development, Implementation, Monitoring, and Evaluation, 2nd ed. (download <u>here</u>).

3. Gender issues

No	Gender issues identified	How the project is addressing identified gender issues
1	Underfunding of criminal justice system contributes to lack of access to	Not yet because the activities were for project initiation
	justice	Approximation of the second of
2	Weak sex-disaggregation of data across the justice pillars	Not yet because the activities were for project initiation
3	Local jails impose more restrictions on women deprived of liberty	Not yet because the activities were for project initiation

4. Disaggregation of data of Beneficiaries/Participants of Activities conducted under the Project

Project Activities	Number of beneficiaries/participants	Gender disaggregation	Remarks (if any)
Trainings/Consultations/Workshops/ Surveys			
		4	

Prepared by:	Maria Lisa Alano	Signature:	- Muth	Date:	5 NOVEMBER 2018
	Programme Associate				
Noted by:	Dr. Emmanuel Buendia	Signature:	1	Date:	
	Programme Team Leader				

ANNEX

L. SAMPLE RISK LOG UPDATE

#	Issue Log	Risk Category	Likelihood	Impact	Proposed Mitigation Measure	Proposed Risk Response
1.	(list/cluster)	(choose from list)	(1-5)	(1-5, comment)	(list)	(terminate, transfer, mitigate, tolerate)
2.						

M. Reference: Types of Risks⁷

Environmental	Financial	Organizational	Political	Operational	Regulatory	Strategic	Other
Natural Disasters: storms, flooding, earthquakes	EXTERNAL economic factors: interest rates, exchange rate fluctuation, inflation	Institutional Arrangements	Corruption	Complex Design (size: larger/multi-country project; technical complexity; innovativeness, multiple funding sources)	New unexpected regulations, policies	Partnerships failing to deliver	Other risks that do not fit in any of the other categories
Pollution incidents	INTERNAL:	Institutional/ Execution Capacity	Government Commitment	Project Management	Critical policies or legislation fails to pass or progress in the legislative process	Strategic Vision, Planning and Communication	Might refer to socioeconomic factors such as: population pressures; encroachment – illegal invasions; poaching/illegal hunting or fishing
Social and Cultural	Co-financing difficulties	Implementation arrangements	Political Will	Human Error/Incompetence		Leadership and Management	
Security/Safety	Use of financing mechanisms	Country Office Capacity (specific elements limiting CO capacity)	Political Instability	Infrastructure Failure		Programme Alignment	
Economic	Funding (Financial Resources)	Governance	Change in Government	Safety being compromised		Competition	

⁷ UNDP Programme and Operations Policies and Procedures (POPP)

Reserve Adequacy	Culture, Code of Conduct and Ethics	Armed Conflict and Instability	Poor monitoring and evaluation	Stakeholder Relations
Currency	Accountability and Compensation	Adverse Public opinion/media intervention	Delivery	Reputation
Receivables	Succession Planning and Talent Management		Programme Management	UN Coordination
Accounting/Financial Reporting	Human resources Processes and Procedures		Process Efficiency	UN Reform
Budget Allocation and Management			Internal Controls	
Cash Management/Reconciliation			Internal and External Fraud	
Pricing/Cost Recovery			Compliance and Legal	
			Procurement	
			Technology	
			Physical Assets	